UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,127	03/31/2004	Hyun Sook Kim	1594.1348	3721
21171 7590 08/19/2008 STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 700		WALDBAUM, SAMUEL A		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/813,127	KIM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SAMUEL A. WALDBAUM	1792
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiled will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pre-	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5-12 and 17-26 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-11 and 22-26 is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5-7,12 and 17-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	are withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctable. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/813,127 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In the reply filed May 6, 2008, the applicant has amended claims 1 and 12, and cancelled claims 3 and 15. The previous rejection is withdrawn in favor of the new rejection found below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Euler et al (U.S. 5,191,669, hereafter `669).

- 3. Claim 1: `669 teaches a drum washing machine, comprising:
 - a water tub including a lower portion (part 34, col. 3, lines 5 15);
 - a rotary tub rotatably provided in the water tub (part 35, col. 3, lines 5 15); and
- a detergent dissolving unit to dissolve a detergent, initially contained in the lower portion of the water tub in water initially contained in the water tub, outside of the water tub and provided with a first end, which is connected to an inside of the water tub (fig. 3, part 80, col. 3 lines 30-45).

`669 teaches that the detergent dissolving unit comprises:

a detergent dissolution pipe having a first end connected with the inside of the water tub and a second end extended outside of the water tub (fig. 3, parts 82, 84 and the piping that extends from the nozzle, part 51, to the piping part 84); and

Page 3

a pump to pump the water and the detergent contained in the lower portion of the water tub to the detergent dissolution pipe (fig. 3, part 38, col. 3, lines 30 - 45) wherein the detergent dissolution pipe is between the tub and the pump (fig. 3, the first end, part 51, is connected with the inside of the tub and parts 84 and 82 is between the pump and the tub, the detergent dissolution pipe has a branch off from the main pipe by valve 170, into the dissolution space, part 80, which has two pipes going into to therefore it has to have a greater diameter than one pipe by itself).

`669 teaches that the detergent pipe has a variable diameter creating a detergent dissolution space (fig. 2 and 3, shows that the pipe enters in to space, part 80, which by the figures it shown to have a variable diameter by the narrow piping to into the larger space with a narrow piping leaving the larger space).

`669 teaches that the detergent dissolving unit comprises a control unit to operate the pump according to preset ON/OFF periods to reciprocate the water and the detergent contained in the lower portion of the water tub between the water tub and the detergent dissolution pipe (col. 5, lines 15 - 30).

- 4. Claims 5: `669 teaches that the first end of the detergent dissolution pipe is provided at an inlet of the rotary tub (fig 3, part 84, col. 5, lines 15 - 65).
- 5. Claims 6: `669 teaches that the detergent dissolving unit further comprises a spray nozzle provided at the first end of the detergent dissolution pipe (fig. 3, part 51).

Application/Control Number: 10/813,127 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

6. Claims 7: `669 teaches that the detergent dissolving unit further comprises a valve mounted at the detergent dissolution pipe to selectively open and close a flow passage (fig. 3, part 128, col. 6, lines 19 – 34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 12 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Euler et al (U.S. 5,191,669, hereafter `669) in further in view of Pellerin (U.S. RE36,688, hereafter `688).

9. Claims 12, 20 and 21: `669 teaches a drum washing machine, comprising:

a cylindrical rotary tub (part 35, col. 3, lines 5 - 15); rotatably provided in the water tub and opened at a first end corresponding with the first end of the cylindrical water tub (fig. 3 where the front opens for the insertion of the laundry); and

a detergent dissolving unit provided outside of the water tub, to partially dissolve or increase solubility or to dissolve the detergent in the water outside of the water tub (col. 5, lines, 15 - 65, by recirculating the concentration, more detergent is dissolve through agitation or through the use of a heater, part 400), and having a first end connected to an inside of the water tub (fig. 3, part 80, col. 3 lines 30 - 45).

a detergent dissolution pipe having a first end connected with the inside of the water tub and a second end extended outside of the water tub (fig. 3, parts 82, 84 and the piping that extends from the nozzle, part 51, to the piping part 84); and

a pump to pump the water and the detergent contained in the lower portion of the water tub to the detergent dissolution pipe (fig. 3, part 38, col. 3, lines 30 - 45) wherein the detergent dissolution pipe is between the tub and the pump (fig. 3, the first end, part 51, is connected with the inside of the tub and parts 84 and 82 is between the pump and the tub).

`669 teaches that the detergent pipe has a variable diameter creating a detergent dissolution space (fig. 2 and 3, shows that the pipe enters in to space, part 80, which by the figures it shown to have a variable diameter by the narrow piping to into the larger space with a narrow piping leaving the larger space).

`669 teaches that the detergent dissolving unit comprises a control unit to operate the pump according to preset ON/OFF periods to reciprocate the water and the detergent contained in the lower portion of the water tub between the water tub and the detergent dissolution pipe (col. 5, lines 15 - 30).

`669 does not teach that the outside tub is cylindrical. `688 is a horizontal washing machine with a tub and a spin tub. `688 teaches the use of a cylindrical outer tub (fig. 1 part 11).

Art Unit: 1792

It would have been an obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a cylindrical outer tub as taught by `688, in the outer tub of `669, since `688 demonstrates cylindrical outer tubs are known and used in washers and a change of shape is generally recognized as being within the ordinary level of skill in the art. *In re Dailey*, 357 F.2nd 669, 149 USPO 1966.

- 10. Claim 17: See claim 5 above.
- 11. Claim 18: See claim 6 above.
- 12. Claim 19: See claim 7 above.

Response to Arguments

- 13. Applicant's arguments filed May 8, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 14. The applicant is arguing that `669 does not reciprocate the liquid between the detergent and the tub. `669 teaches multiple valves and piping (see fig. 3, the valves are 128, 170, and 166 with the pump 38), where the pump is capable of sending fluid from the bottom of the tub to the detergent tank, which send the fluid back to bottom of the tub, which gets sent back to detergent tank. This is a loop but it is reciprocating the fluid back and forth between the detergent tank and the tub. Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished form prior art in terms of structure rather than function. *In re Danly*, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA). "[A]pparatus claims cover what a devices is not what a device does" *Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc.*, 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Conclusion

Page 7

15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAMUEL A. WALDBAUM whose telephone number is (571)270-1860. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TR 6:20-3:50, F 6:30-10:30 est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Cleveland can be reached on 571-272-1418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,127

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Page 8

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. A. W./

Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/FRANKIE L. STINSON/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792